

Approved: ord. #07/01-07, 26/03/2024

International Black Sea University

Provision of graduate studies

Tbilisi
2024

content

Article 1. Scope of Regulation	3
Article 2. Definitions of terms	3
Article 3. Planning, development and development of the master's program	3
Article 4. Enrollment in the master's program	3
Article 5. Language of teaching at master's programs	5
Article 6. Duration of teaching at the master's program	5
Article 7. Registration	5
Article 8. Evaluation of master's thesis	5
Article 9. Disciplinary rules	5
Article 10. Registration of master's thesis	5
Article 11. Determination of the title and supervisor of the master's thesis	6
Article 12. Content characteristics of the master's thesis	6
Article 13. Technical characteristics of the master's thesis	6
Article 14. Evaluation of master's thesis	7
Article 15. Submission of master's thesis	8
Article 16. Master thesis supervisor	8
Article 17. Co-supervisor of master's thesis	8
Article 18. Master thesis reviewer	9
Article 19. Master's Thesis Defense Commission	9
Article 20. Functions of the chairman and secretary of the commission	10
Article 21. Master thesis defense procedure	10
Article 22. Appealing the results of the evaluation of the research component	10
Article 23. Award of qualifications	11
Article 24. Final Provisions	11

Article 1. Scope of Regulation

1.1. The present provision regulates the issues related to the enrollment of students in the master's program of the "International Black Sea University LLC" (hereinafter - the "University"), conducting the educational process, evaluation and awarding of the master's academic degree.

1.2. Master's degree is a second-level educational program of academic higher education, which includes elements of scientific research and aims to train a specialist or researcher at the post-bachelor level, as well as prepares a person for work with the obtained qualification.

1.3. The educational program of the master's degree includes at least 120 credits or 60 credits in cases determined by the law.

Article 2. Definitions of terms

2.1. The sectoral group of the program – a group of academic staff involved in the implementation of the relevant master's program of the university, which elects a chairman from among its members for a period of one year. The group is authorized to make a decision if more than half of its members are present. The decision is made by the majority of votes. All group members have equal voting rights.

Article 3. Master's program planning and development

3.1. In order to open a master's program, it is necessary to have at least 5 (five) students on the program. An exceptional case is determined by the decision of the school board. After the approval of the master's program by the governing board, the university adds the program in accordance with the law.

3.2. Planning and other issues related to the development of the master's program are regulated by the "Rule of planning and development of educational programs".

3.3. Considering the specifics of the field, it is possible for schools to develop different rules for the implementation of the scientific-research component, in which case the guide for students will become a regulatory provision of special norms.

Article 4. Enrollment in the master's program

4.1. The applicant is entitled to enroll in the university's master's program in accordance with the legislation of Georgia - by passing the unified master's exams (without passing the unified master's exams - in the cases stipulated by the law) and passing the university's exam(s) (foreign language & specialty). An agreement is signed between the university and the master's degree candidate and a unified act of the rector on student enrollment is issued.

4.2. Enrollment rule based on the passing of unified master's exams:

4.2.1. A citizen of Georgia, who has a bachelor's degree or an equivalent academic degree, successfully passes the unified master's exams and the exams defined by the university's master's program (foreign language and specialty), passes an interview (if any), will gain the right to enroll in the university's master's program. In the event of a contest, candidates for the master's degree with the highest grade will be selected. In the event of equal final total marks, preference is given to candidates with higher marks - 1. in the specialty exam; 2 interview results (if any); 3. Results of the unified master's examination.

4.2.2. The evaluation of the interview is carried out in the form of an interview, unless different evaluation criteria are provided by the program.

4.3. Enrollment procedure without passing a unified master's exams:

4.3.1. A person who passes the university's internal exam(s) (foreign language and specialty) and meets the requirements defined by the order of the Minister of Education and Science of Georgia dated December 29, 2011 224/N will be enrolled in the university's master's program without passing the unified master's exams.

4.4. Applicants of both categories:

4.4.1. Applicants who have an international certificate confirming the knowledge of a foreign language at the B2 level are exempted from passing the foreign language test.

- 4.4.2. The applicant is exempted from passing the foreign language exam if he/she has completed a foreign language educational program.
- 4.5. The program's entrance examinations are organized by the Educational Process Monitoring Service.
- 4.6. For candidates who are not in Georgia, the entrance exam(s) for the master's program can be conducted online.
- 4.7. Examination(s) in the specialty are conducted in the language instruction of the program.
- 4.8. The list of documents to be submitted for master's programs and the terms of admission and deadlines are determined by the act of the rector. The mentioned information is published by the Department of Marketing and Public Relations and additionally for international students - by the Department of International Relations.
- 4.9. The following documents are usually attached to the application:
- 4.9.1. 2 photos (3X4) (printed and in electronic form). The photo is sent electronically to the Student Services and Registry Office, if the application is not made through the electronic system;
- 4.9.2. In case of receiving higher education in a foreign country, notarized translations of the diploma and appendix and a document recognizing the education received abroad;
- 4.9.3. Notarized copies of the document confirming higher education (diploma) and the appendix, or the certificate issued by the relevant higher education institution on the awarding of the relevant degree and the mark sheet - in the case of a diploma issued by the university, notarization of copies is not mandatory;
- 4.9.4. Copy of identification card/passport (for citizens of foreign countries – notarized translation);
- 4.9.5. International certificate confirming the level of knowledge of a foreign language/ document confirming the academic degree awarded as a result of the completion of the foreign language program - diploma and notarized copies of the appendix (if any);
- 4.9.6. A document confirming a military registration by a person subject to military registration in accordance with the law.
- 4.9.7. For international students, according to the documentation specified in the "Rule of Enrollment of Foreign Students".

Article 5. Language of teaching at master's programs

- 5.1. The language of teaching in master's programs is Georgian, English.

Article 6. Duration of teaching at the master's program

- 6.1. The duration of studies for a minimum 120-credit master's program is 4 semesters; 2 semesters in the case of a 60-credit program.
- 6.2. Students who cannot finish the master's program in 4 semesters (in the case of a 60-credit program - in 2 semesters), are entitled to continue their studies through self-financing in accordance with the requirements of the "Regulation of the Educational Process" and the "Rule of Payment of Educational Service Fees".
- 6.3. The master's student is given 15 calendar weeks to work on the master's thesis. The countdown of the mentioned period starts from the approval of the title and supervisor of the Master's thesis. In case

of changing the title of the thesis and/or the supervisor/co-supervisor, the countdown of 15 weeks starts from the date of the first approval.

Article 7. Registration

7.1. The process of registration for the educational and research component is carried out in accordance with the procedure defined by the "Regulation of the Educational Process".

7.2. For the purposes of this provision (as opposed to the regulation of the educational process – the relevant clause), the minimum number of students for opening a component is 5 (five). Exceptions may be made by decision of the school board.

Article 8. Evaluation of master's thesis

8.1. All issues related to assessment within the master's program (except the assessment of the master's thesis) are determined in accordance with the "Regulation of the Educational Process".

Article 9. Disciplinary rules

9.1. Disciplinary issues are defined in accordance with the "Regulation of Students Disciplinary Responsibility".

Article 10. Registration of master's thesis

10.1. The admission prerequisites for registration for the master's thesis are determined by the relevant educational program.

Article 11. Determination of the title and supervisor of the master's thesis

11.1. The title of the master's thesis and the thesis supervisor are approved by the school board at the beginning of the semester. The paper title/wording can be changed no later than one month before it is submitted to the Academic Writing Center. In case of title change/wording change, re-approval is done in accordance with the rules defined in this article.

11.2. If necessary, at the initiative of the student or thesis/program supervisor, the co-supervisor is selected by the school board together with the supervisor or within one month after the thesis is approved.

11.3. The title and supervisor of the master's thesis are approved in accordance with the following procedures:

11.4. The head of the program, in agreement with the sectoral group of the program, prepares a list of relevant possible supervisors indicating their research field;

11.5. The school ensures the availability of the mentioned list for students registered for the master's thesis;

11.6. The student (in agreement with the supervisor/co-supervisor) decides and applies to the head of the program about the selection of the supervisor and the title of the master's thesis.

11.7. The head of the program will discuss the above list together with the sectoral group of the program;

11.8. The title of the master's thesis and supervisor/co-supervisor (if any) are approved by the school board.

Article 12. Content characteristics of the master's thesis

12.1. Master's thesis is the result of scientific research, which is carried out under the guidance of the supervisor/co-supervisor;

12.2. The master's thesis must meet the following criteria:

12.2.1. should be directed to issues relevant to the field;

12.2.2. The student must demonstrate the practical and/or theoretical implications of the issue.

Article 13. Technical characteristics of the master's thesis

13.1. Within the framework of the Georgian-language master's program, the master's thesis is completed in Georgian (maximum 1-page summary in English), within the framework of the English-language master's program, the master's thesis is completed in English (maximum 1-page summary in Georgian and English).

13.2. Technical requirements are: volume – 50-90 pages. Font – Sylfaen (for work written in Georgian) / Times New Roman (for work written in English), font size – 12, distance between lines – 1.5, left margin – 3 cm, right margin 1.5 cm, top and bottom margins – 2.5 sm.

13.3. The detailed description of the preparation of the master's thesis is defined in the "Preparation guide of the master's thesis and dissertation".

Article 14. Evaluation of master's thesis

14.1. The evaluation of the master's thesis is done as a whole, with a final evaluation consisting of the supervisors', the reviewers' and the commission' s evaluations.

14.2. The supervisor evaluates with 30 points, the reviewer with 33 points, the commission with 37 points. For the final evaluation, these evaluations are added together.

14.3. The maximum score for the final assessment is 100.

14.4. The supervisor's evaluation criteria are defined in the forms "Master's thesis evaluation criteria for the supervisor (without points)" and "Master's thesis evaluation criteria for the supervisor (with points)". The reviewer's evaluation criteria are defined by the "Master's theses evaluation forms for the reviewer (without points)" and "Master's theses evaluation forms for the reviewer (with points)" forms.

14.5. The evaluation of the master's thesis is carried out according to the system established by clause 14.6.

14.6. The evaluation system allows:

a) five types of positive assessment:

A.A) (A) Excellent – 91-100 points.

A.B) (B) Very good – 81-90 points.

A.C) (C) Good – 71-80 points.

A. D) (D) Satisfactory - 61-70 points.

A. E) (E) Sufficient – 51-60 points.

b) two types of negative assessment:

BA) (FX) failed – 41-50 points, which means that the student needs more work to pass and is allowed to take one additional exam with independent work.

bb) (F) Failed – 40 points and less, which means that the work done by the student is not enough and he/she has to study the course/subject anew.

14.7. If the master's student receives 41-50 points (FX), he/she is allowed to submit a revised scientific-research component during the next semester.

14.8. If the graduate student receives 40 points or less (F), he/she loses the right to submit the same research component.

14.9. The prerequisite for awarding the academic degree of Master is to obtain at least 51 points in the final assessment. If the student gets 41-50 points, he/she is given the opportunity to improve his/her master's thesis and submit it for defense in the next semester, and if he/she gets less than 41 points, the student loses the right to present the same master's thesis.

14.10. If the master's student did not appear for public defense due to a valid reason (if there is a valid reason, the study process monitoring service makes the appropriate decision), the master's student will be assigned public defense in the same semester.

14.11. The master's thesis must be evaluated in the same or the following semester in which the student completes work on it.

Article 15. Submission of master's thesis

15.1. The student must submit the thesis in the 15th calendar week. The counting of the said period starts from the date of first approval of the title and supervisor of the paper regardless of whether any changes have been made to the title/supervisor of the paper later.

15.2. The student applies to the Academic Writing Center regarding the completion of the thesis and admission to the defense. The student sends the electronic version of the paper to the official email of the Academic Writing Center.

15.3. With the help of special software and the involvement of the thesis supervisor, the Academic Writing Center determines the presence/absence of plagiarism. If signs of plagiarism are detected during the inspection, based on the thesis supervisor's report, the student must eliminate the said defect within 5 (five) calendar days and send it to the academic writing center. Otherwise, according to the decision of the school board, the student will not be admitted to defense (the mentioned issue is regulated in accordance with the "Academic Integrity Policy" document);

15.4. After the plagiarism check, two printed copies of the master's thesis (signed by the student) and one copy in electronic form (on CD) will be submitted to the Academic Writing Center. The Academic Writing Center sends the paper, along with the text match percentage (regardless of the match percentage), to the supervisor/co-supervisor for evaluation.

Article 16. Master thesis supervisor

16.1. The person with the academic degree of doctor, who has published at least 1 (one) publication in the relevant field during the last 5 (five) years, has the right to supervise the master's thesis.

- 16.2. The master's student has the right to request a change of supervisor within 1 (one) month after the title of the master's thesis is approved.
- 16.3. The supervisor is given 5 (five) calendar days to evaluate the work.
- 16.4. The supervisor writes the conclusion and evaluates the work. In the conclusion, the supervisor should pay attention to the format and style of the paper, its compliance with the "Master's Thesis and Dissertation Preparation Guide".
- 16.5. The supervisor's report is sent to the student for feedback purposes.
- 16.6. A student will be admitted to the defense if he/she has received at least the number of points specified in the form from each component of the supervisor's assessment (at least 7 points in total).

Article 17. Co-supervisor of the master's thesis

- 17.1. The co-supervisor of the master's thesis can be a specialist of the relevant or other field depending on the topic of the master's thesis.
- 17.2. The student has the right to change his/her co-supervisor within 1 (one) month after the approval of the master's thesis, if the co-supervisor was appointed at the beginning of the semester.
- 17.3. The co-supervisor is given 5 (five) calendar days to make the assessment.
- 17.4. When evaluating the thesis, the co-supervisor pays attention to the format and style of the thesis, its compliance with the present regulation and the "Guide for preparing Master's Thesis and Dissertation".

Article 18. Master thesis reviewer

- 18.1. The reviewer may be an internal university academic/visiting staff or an external staff (local or international). When appointing a reviewer, priority is given to appointing an international reviewer.
- 18.2. The reviewer must have an academic degree of Ph.D. In the case of an internal reviewer, he/she may have an academic degree in the relevant field or another field, but hold an academic position (professor/associate professor) in the relevant field or must have published at least 1 (one) publication in indexed journals or an international conference proceeding in the last 5 (five) years in the relevant field. The external reviewer must be a lecturer in a higher educational institution, or employed in the private or public sector in the relevant direction.
- 18.3. The reviewer is appointed by the school board, on the basis of the presentation of the head of the program in agreement with the sectoral group of the program.
- 18.4. The reviewer is appointed according to the following procedure:
 - 18.4.1. The master's thesis supervisor will submit the resumes of potential reviewers (at least one internal and one external reviewer) to the program director.
 - 18.4.2. The head of the program evaluates the submitted candidates according to their qualifications based on consultation with the program's sectoral group.
 - 18.4.3. The reviewer's nomination will be submitted to the school board for approval.
- 18.5. Once a reviewer is appointed, the Center for Academic Writing coordinates the review process.

18.6. The reviewer is given 10 (ten) calendar days to evaluate the paper. In the case of an international reviewer, according to the decision of the school board, the mentioned period may be extended up to four weeks.

18.7. The reviewer evaluates the paper by points and also writes recommendations and/or notes.

18.8. The reviewer's report is sent to the student for feedback purposes.

18.9. The reviewer evaluates the student in public defense in the form of a graded evaluation intended for the reviewer.

Article 19. Master's Thesis Defense Commission

19.1. The master's thesis is defended before the commission created by the school board. Commission members are appointed based on the submission of the supervisor of the master's thesis (all commission members must be academic (except assistants) or invited staff). It is possible that the members of the commission may not be university personnel.

19.2. The commission includes at least 2 (two) representatives of the relevant field. A representative of the academic writing center is entitled to attend the defense with the right of deliberative vote. The master's thesis supervisor/co-supervisor is entitled to attend the defense, but cannot be a member of the commission.

19.3. The chairman of the commission is elected by a simple majority of votes. The Secretary of the Commission is a representative of the Center for Academic Writing.

19.4. The protocol of defense is signed by the chairman, secretary and all present members participating in the assessment.

19.5. The Academic Writing Center provides the archiving of signed minutes.

Article 20. Functions of the chairman and secretary of the commission

20.1. The functions of the chairman of the commission are:

20.1.1. conducting the defense procedure;

20.1.2. Deriving the average score of the commission members' evaluations.

20.2. The functions of the secretary of the commission are:

20.2.1. Carrying out all necessary procedural and organizational actions for the defense of the master's thesis and after the defense (including the preparation of the list of students who defended the master's thesis indicating the students' name, surname, topic title, program, supervisor/co-supervisor of the thesis, date of defense and other issues), preparation of the list of students who failed to defend the master's thesis, indicating the reason and the appropriate argumentation of the commission and submission to the school board.

Article 19. Master's Thesis Defense Commission

19.1. The master's thesis is defended before the commission created by the school board. Commission members are appointed based on the submission of the supervisor of the master's thesis (all commission members must be academic (except assistants) or invited staff). It is possible that the members of the commission may not be university personnel. 19.2. The commission includes at least 2 (two) representatives of the relevant field. A representative of the academic writing center is entitled to

attend the defense with the right of deliberative vote. The master's thesis supervisor/co-supervisor is entitled to attend the defense, but cannot be a member of the commission.

19.3. The chairman of the commission is elected by a simple majority of votes. The Secretary of the Commission is a representative of the Center for Academic Writing.

19.4. The protocol of protection is signed by the chairman, secretary and all present members participating in the assessment.

19.5. The Academic Writing Center provides storage and archiving of signed minutes.

Article 20. Functions of the chairman and secretary of the commission

20.1. The functions of the chairman of the commission are:

20.1.1. conducting the protection procedure;

20.1.2. Deriving the average score of the commission members' evaluations.

20.2. The functions of the secretary of the commission are:

Article 21. Master thesis defense procedure

21.1. The organization of the master's thesis defense process (including the announcement of the time and place) is carried out by the Academic Writing Center. The members of the commission (at least 2 specialists in the field) and the reviewer must be present at the defense. Otherwise, the protection will be postponed for a period of no more than 1 (one) week.

21.2. Master's thesis is defended orally and publicly, in the language of the program implementation.

21.3. The time allocated for the presentation should not exceed 20 (twenty) minutes. After the student's presentation, the members of the commission are entitled to ask questions to the master's student.

21.4. The supervisor's and reviewer's conclusions are made public after the student's presentation on the defense of the master's thesis. The student has the right to express his own argumentative position on the issues indicated in the conclusion of the supervisor and/or reviewer.

Article 22. Appealing the results of the evaluation of the research component

22.1. The student has the right to appeal the evaluation of the research component within 4 (four) calendar days from the notification of the result by applying to the relevant school.

22.2. The student must indicate a specific need in the application and present the appropriate argumentation.

22.3. With the statement, the student is entitled to request:

a) Revision of defense regulations without re-evaluation

b) Re-evaluation of the research component.

22.4. Procedure for review of the complaint in case of a request to revise the defense regulations:

22.4.1. In the case of a request to review the defense regulations, the school board is authorized, based on the circumstances indicated in the student's complaint, to make a decision to review the defense regulations by the evaluator or the appeal commission created according to clause 22.5.

22.4.2. In case of finding a defect by the appeals commission, the component is returned to the school board together with the conclusion.

22.4.3. Based on the appeal commission's conclusion, the school board is authorized to discuss re-evaluation of the research component, leaving the evaluation unchanged, or conducting the defense again.

22.5. In the event of a request for re-evaluation of a component, the school board shall form an appeals committee consisting of at least 3 (three) members. The Appeals Commission makes its decision by majority vote. The committee member should not be the thesis supervisor, reviewer, opponent, or member of the original defense committee.

22.6. General rules for considering a complaint:

22.6.1. The commission is given 15 (fifteen) working days to carry out the assessment.

22.6.2. During the evaluation process, the commission is obliged to get acquainted with the justification of the initial evaluation, as well as, if necessary, listen to the argumentation of the defense commission. The Commission has the right to leave the assessment in force or to file a motion to revise the assessment.

22.6.3. If a review of the grade is necessary, the school board/dissertation board will review the committee's petition and, if it is shared, an additional defense will be organized. The rating on defense may remain the same, decrease or increase. The decision is final and not subject to appeal. In case of rejection of the petition, the school board presents the argumentation.

22.6.4. The school board will notify the interested parties of the decision within 2 (two) working days.

Article 23. Award of qualification

23.1. After defending the master's thesis and fulfilling all the components defined by the program, the master's academic degree is awarded by the decision of the school board.

23.2. The awarded Master's academic degree is revoked if the fact of plagiarism is established at any stage.

23.3. The decision on canceling the academic degree of Master is made by the school council.

Article 24. Final Provisions

24.1. Matters that are not regulated by this regulation are regulated according to the Law of Georgia "On Higher Education" and other regulations of the International Black Sea University LLC.

24.2. The said provision shall enter into force upon the entry into force of the rector's order;

24.3. Any kind of changes and additions to the regulations are approved based on the order of the rector.

24.4. The implementation of this provision will be supervised by the vice-rector for research and study.

შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები ხელმძღვანელისთვის (ქულის გარეშე)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR SUPERVISOR (WITHOUT POINTS)

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / Name, Surname:

.....
.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ Title of the Master's Thesis:

.....
.....
.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	კეთან ხმები Agree	მეტწილად კეთანხმები Substantially Agree	ნაწილობრივ კეთანხმები Partially Agree	არ კეთანხმები Disagree
1	აქტუალობა Significance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	შესუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	ხელმძღვანელთან მუშაობის პროცესში ჩართულობა Involvement in the thesis writing process in cooperation with supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

კომენტარები, რეკომენდაციები / **Comments, Recommendations**

.....

ხელმძღვანელის სახელი, გვარი / **Full Name of the Supervisor**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



მაგი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - მაგი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - მაგი ზღვის

საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები ხელმძღვანელისთვის (ქულობრივი)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR SUPERVISOR (WITH POINTS)

თარიღი/ **Date:**...../...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / **Master's Student's**

სახელი, გვარი / **Name, Surname:**

.....

..... სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ **Title of the Master's Thesis:**

.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	მაქსიმალური ქულა (მინიმალური ქულა) Maximum Possible Assessment Points (Minimum Possible Assessment Point)	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4 (1)	
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4 (1)	
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5 (1)	

4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5 (1)	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6 (1)	
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	3 (1)	
7	ხელმძღვანელთან მუშაობის პროცესში ჩართულობა Involvement in the thesis writing process in cooperation with supervisor	3 (1)	

ჯამი / Total	30 (7)	
---------------------	---------------	--

გადაწყვეტილება / **Decision:**

ხელმძღვანელის სახელი, გვარი / **Full Name of the Supervisor** _____

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების
კრიტერიუმები რეცენზენტისთვის (ქულის გარეშე)
**ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR
REVIEWER (WITHOUT POINTS)**

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / Name, Surname:

.....
.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ Title of the Master's Thesis:

.....
.....
.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	ვეთანხმები Agree	მეტწილად ვეთანხმები Substantially Agree	ნაწილობრივ ვეთანხმები Partially Agree	არ ვეთანხმები Disagree
---	-------------------------------	---------------------	--	--	------------------------------

1	აქტუალობა Significance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

კომენტარები, რეკომენდაციები / **Comments, Recommendations**

.....

.....

.....

რეცენზენტის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Reviewer**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები რეცენზენტისთვის
(ქულობრივი)

ASSESSMENT OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR REVIEWER (WITH POINTS)

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / **Master's Student's**

სახელი, გვარი / **Name, Surname:**

.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ **Title of the Master's Thesis:**

.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	მაქსიმალური ქულა Maximum Possible Assessment Points	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4	
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4	
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5	
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6	
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	3	
ჯამი / Total		27	

#	სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის პრეზენტაციის შეფასება Assessment of master's thesis presentation	მაქსიმალური ქულა Maximum Possible Assessment Points	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
7	დაცვის პროცესში კითხვებზე ადეკვატური პასუხების გაცემა, საკუთარი პოზიციის დასაბუთება, ტერმინოლოგიის ფლობა During defense- giving adequate replies to the given questions, defending one's own position, knowledge of terminology.	6	
ჯამი / Total		33	

გადაწყვეტილება / **Decision:**

რეცენზენტის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Reviewer**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები საჯარო დაცვისთვის
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA of MASTER'S THESIS for PUBLIC DEFENSE

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / Name, Surname:

.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ Title of the Master's Thesis:

.....

მაქსიმალური

კრიტერიუმი **Criteria**
Assessment Maximum Possible ქულა

მინიჭებული **Points Given** ქულა

		Points	
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4	
2	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5	
3	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4	
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6	
6	დაცვისას მასალის პრეზენტაცია (ლოგიკური მსჯელობა, პრეზენტაციის სტრუქტურა, მკაფიო მეტყველება, ნაშრომის ძირითადი დებულებების წარმოდგენა და ა.შ. დაცვისას გამოყენებული თვალსაჩინოების ეფექტურობა) Presenting material during defense (logical arguments, structure of the presentation, fluency, conveying main idea, etc. Quality of audio-visual aids)	7	
7	დაცვის პროცესში კითხვებზე ადეკვატური პასუხების გაცემა, საკუთარი პოზიციის დასაბუთება, ტერმინოლოგიის ფლობა During defense- giving adequate replies to the given questions, defending one's own position, knowledge of terminology.	6	

ჯამი / **Total** **37**

კომისიის წევრის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Commission**

Member _____

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**
