

Approved: ord. #07/01-02, 20/01/2025

International Black Sea University

Provision of graduate studies

Tbilisi
2024

content

Article 1. Scope of Regulation	3
Article 2. Definitions of terms	3
Article 3. Planning and development of the master's program	3
Article 4. Enrollment in the master's program	3
Article 5. Appealing the decision of the admissions committee	5
Article 6. Language of teaching at master's programs	6
Article 7. Duration of teaching at the master's program	6
Article 8. Registration	7
Article 9. Evaluation of master's thesis	7
Article 10. Disciplinary rules	7
Article 11. Registration of master's thesis	7
Article 12. Determination of the title and supervisor of the master's thesis	7
Article 13. Content characteristics of the master's thesis	7
Article 14. Technical characteristics of the master's thesis	8
Article 15. Evaluation of master's thesis	8
Article 16. Submission of master's thesis	9
Article 17. Master thesis supervisor	10
Article 18. Co-supervisor of master's thesis	10
Article 19. Master thesis reviewer	10
Article 20. Master's Thesis Defense Commission	11
Article 21. Functions of the chairman and secretary of the commission	11
Article 22. Master thesis defense procedure	12
Article 23. Appealing the results of the evaluation of the research component	12
Article 24. Award of qualifications	13
Article 25. Final Provisions	13
Appendix №1	15
Appendix №2 - №6	17

Article 1. Scope of Regulation

1.1. The present provision regulates the issues related to the enrollment of students in the master's program of the "International Black Sea University LLC" (hereinafter - the "University"), conducting the educational process, evaluation and awarding of the master's academic degree.

1.2. Master's degree is a second-level educational program of academic higher education, which includes elements of scientific research and aims to train a specialist or researcher at the post-bachelor level, as well as prepares a person for work with the obtained qualification.

1.3. The educational program of the master's degree includes at least 120 credits or 60 credits in cases determined by the law.

Article 2. Definitions of terms

2.1. The sectoral group of the program – a group of academic staff involved in the implementation of the relevant master's program of the university, which elects a chairman from among its members for a period of one year. The group is authorized to make a decision if more than half of its members are present. The decision is made by the majority of votes. All group members have equal voting rights.

Article 3. Master's program planning and development

3.1. The Master's program is authorized by the Rector and is carried out in compliance with Georgia law and the guidelines set forth in the University's internal normative documents.

3.2. At least 15 (fifteen) students must be enrolled in the program in order for a Master's program group to be opened (for the actual implementation of training). According to the guidelines set forth by the University Regulations, the School Council may decide on a different number as an exception, for which it must apply to the University Governing Council for approval.

3.3. The planning, development and other issues related to the development of the Master's program are regulated by the "Rules for Planning and Development of Educational Programs".

3.4. Taking into account the specifics of the field, schools may develop a different rule for the implementation of the scientific-research component, in which case the student's guide will become a regulation regulating special norms.

Article 4. Enrollment in the master's program

4.1. The applicant is entitled to enroll in the University's Master's program in accordance with the legislation of Georgia - by passing the common Master's exam (without passing the common Master's exam in cases provided for by law) and following the procedures established by the University.

4.2. An applicant must pass an internal field exam, an interview with the master's program admissions committee, and/or a foreign language exam (in situations defined by this regulation) in order to be admitted to a master's program. The rector's order authorizes the proposal of the school dean, which determines the makeup of the master's program admissions committee.

4.3. Enrollment rules based on passing the common master's exam:

4.3.1. In accordance with the procedures established at the University, the applicant must submit the documentation required by paragraph 4.3.2.1. within the specified deadlines. Information on the list of documents to be submitted, acceptance conditions and deadlines is published by the Marketing and Public Relations Department, and for international students additionally by the International Relations Department;

4.3.2.1. The documents to be submitted by the applicant are:

A. Identity card (passport) and its copy;

B. Certified copy of the diploma and supplement confirming the bachelor's or equivalent academic degree;

- C. 2 photographs 3X4 in size (printed and digital version on CD);
- D. Copy of the military service certificate or military ID card (for military service);
- E. Original certificate confirming passing the general master's exams from the National Examinations Center (if available), or a printout of the applicant's scores on the master's exams from the National Examinations Center website (www.naec.ge);
- F. Motivation letter regarding studying on the program;
- G. Resume - CV (in the language of the program);
- H. Copy of the international certificate confirming proficiency in English at level B2 (if available). The exceptions to the submission of the above-mentioned document are the cases provided for in paragraph 4.5 of this Regulation;
- I. Completed Form 1 (on personal data).

4.3.2.2. The list of documents to be submitted by international students is determined in accordance with the “Regulations for Enrollment of International Students”.

4.3.2.3. In case of incomplete submission of the above-mentioned documents, the applicant’s application will not be accepted.

4.3.3. After submitting the documents specified in this Article, the applicant shall take the exams specified in paragraph 2 of this Article,

4.3.4. The applicant shall undergo an interview with the Master’s Program Admissions Committee. The applicant shall be assessed according to the assessment criteria established by the Admissions Committee (in accordance with Appendix No. 1). Taking into account the specifications of the relevant field, the Committee has the right to assess the applicant according to different criteria.

4.3.5. A decision on the applicant’s enrollment is made if the average score of the majority of the members of the commission present is at least 51 points. In case of equal final total scores, preference is given to candidates with a higher score: General Master’s Exam Results; Interview Results; Internal Field Exam Results.

4.3.6. The list of applicants selected by the Admissions Commission is submitted to the Rector for the purpose of enrolling them in the Master’s Program.

4.3.7. The Rector issues an order on the person’s enrollment in the Master’s Program.

4.3.8 An agreement is concluded between the Master’s Student and the University, which defines their rights and obligations.

4.3.9. In the event that the master's student determined by the order of the rector fails to sign the agreement on master's studies within the period established by the university, then the university has the right to declare the relevant order or part of the order invalid.

4.4. Enrollment procedure without passing the general master's exam:

4.4.1. A person who confirms proficiency in the language of instruction of the program at least at the B1 level and/or passes an interview and meets the requirements specified in the order of the Minister of Education and Science of Georgia dated December 29, 2011 No. 224/n will be enrolled in the university's master's program without passing the general master's exam.

4.5. Applicants of both categories:

4.5.1. Applicants who have an international certificate confirming proficiency in a foreign language at the B2 level are exempt from passing the foreign language exam.

4.5.2. An applicant is exempted from taking a foreign language exam if he/she has completed a foreign language educational program or the diploma supplement confirms the successful completion of the B2 level of English.

4.6. The technical organization of the entrance exams to the program is carried out by the Educational Process Monitoring Service.

4.7. For candidates not residing in Georgia, the admission interview/exam to the Master's program can be conducted online.

4.8. The interview and internal exam are conducted in the language of the program.

Article 5. Appeal against the decision of the Admissions Commission

- 5.1. The applicant is entitled to appeal the negative decision of the Admissions Committee on enrollment in the Master's program to the Dean of the relevant School within 3 (three) calendar days from the date of becoming acquainted with it. The appeal is made by means of a written application.
- 5.2. The applicant must indicate the grounds for the appeal in the application and submit the relevant written argumentation.
- 5.3. By means of the application, the applicant is entitled to request the justification of the decision of the Admissions Committee within the scope of the argumentation indicated by him.
- 5.4. In case of a request for the justification of the decision of the Admissions Committee, the Dean of the School sends the application to the original Admissions Committee for consideration.
- 5.5. The Admissions Committee is obliged to consider the applicant's application within a period of no more than 3 (three) working days.
- 5.6. During the review process, the Commission is obliged to review the application submitted by the applicant and, if requested, prepare a written justification for its decision.
- 5.7. In the event that the applicant does not agree with the written justification of the Admissions Commission, he/she is entitled to appeal the submitted justification to the Appeals Admissions Commission within 3 (three) days from the date of receiving the written justification of the decision.
- 5.8. In the event of an appeal against a reasoned decision, an Appeals Admissions Commission is established upon the proposal of the Dean of the School and the order of the Rector, consisting of at least 3 (three) specialists/members of the relevant field. The Appeals Commission makes a decision by majority vote. A member of the Commission must not have a conflict of interest in relation to the issue under consideration, including the fact that he/she must not be a member of the original Admissions Commission.
- 5.9. General rules for reviewing the appeal:
- 5.9.1. The Appeals Committee is given 3 (three) working days to conduct the assessment.
- 5.9.2. During the assessment process, the Appeals Committee is obliged to familiarize itself with the applicant's application and the assessment/argumentation of the Admissions Committee. The Appeals Committee has the right to leave the initial decision in force or make a new decision on the applicant's enrollment.
- 5.9.3. The decision of the Appeals Committee is final and cannot be appealed.
- 5.9.4. The Appeals Committee shall notify the interested parties of the decision within 2 (two) working days.

Article 6. Language of instruction in master's programs

- 6.1. The language of instruction for master's programs is Georgian, and for English-language programs - English.

Article 7. Duration of teaching at the master's program

- 7.1. The duration of studies for a minimum 120-credit master's program is 4 semesters; 2 semesters in the case of a 60-credit program.
- 7.2. Students who cannot finish the master's program in 4 semesters (in the case of a 60-credit program - in 2 semesters), are entitled to continue their studies through self-financing in accordance with the

requirements of the "Regulation of the Educational Process" and the "Rule of Payment of Educational Service Fees".

7.3. The master's student is given 15 calendar weeks to work on the master's thesis. The countdown of the mentioned period starts from the approval of the title and supervisor of the Master's thesis. In case of changing the title of the thesis and/or the supervisor/co-supervisor, the countdown of 15 weeks starts from the date of the first approval.

Article 8. Registration

8.1. The registration process for the educational and research component is carried out in accordance with the procedure specified in the "Rules Governing the Educational Process".

Article 9. Evaluation of master's thesis

9.1. All issues related to assessment within the master's program (except the assessment of the master's thesis) are determined in accordance with the "Regulation of the Educational Process".

Article 10. Disciplinary rules

10.1. Disciplinary issues are defined in accordance with the "Regulation of Students Disciplinary Responsibility".

Article 11. Registration of master's thesis

11.1. The admission prerequisites for registration for the master's thesis are determined by the relevant educational program.

Article 12. Determination of the title and supervisor of the master's thesis

12.1. The title of the master's thesis and the thesis supervisor are approved by the school board at the beginning of the semester. The paper title/wording can be changed no later than one month before it is submitted to the Academic Writing Center. In case of title change/wording change, re-approval is done in accordance with the rules defined in this article.

12.2. If necessary, at the initiative of the student or thesis/program supervisor, the co-supervisor is selected by the school board together with the supervisor or within one month after the thesis is approved.

12.3. The title and supervisor of the master's thesis are approved in accordance with the following procedures:

12.3.1. The head of the program, in agreement with the sectoral group of the program, prepares a list of relevant possible supervisors indicating their research field;

12.3.2 The school ensures the availability of the mentioned list for students registered for the master's thesis;

12.3.3 The student (in agreement with the supervisor/co-supervisor) decides and applies to the head of the program about the selection of the supervisor and the title of the master's thesis.

12.3.4. The head of the program will discuss the above list together with the sectoral group of the program;

12.3.5. The title of the master's thesis and supervisor/co-supervisor (if any) are approved by the school board.

Article 13. Content characteristics of the master's thesis

13.1. Master's thesis is the result of scientific research, which is carried out under the guidance of the supervisor/co-supervisor;

13.2. The master's thesis must meet the following criteria:

13.2.1. should be directed to issues relevant to the field;

13.2.2. The student must demonstrate the practical and/or theoretical implications of the issue.

13.2.3. The master's thesis must satisfy and comply with ethical norms/standards of research (research complies with ethical norms if it does not contradict generally recognized moral goals and principles; does not violate human rights and freedoms; no part of the research contains information that is defamatory of the dignity or reputation of others; methods that may violate the rights of third parties and/or mislead are not used within the framework of the research; the research is the direct result of the student's research activity; the research complies with generally recognized ethical norms and principles of conducting research in a specific field. This list is not exhaustive).

Article 14. Technical characteristics of the master's thesis

14.1. Within the framework of the Georgian-language master's program, the master's thesis is completed in Georgian (maximum 1-page summary in English), within the framework of the English-language master's program, the master's thesis is completed in English (maximum 1-page summary in Georgian and English).

14.2. Technical requirements are: volume – 50-90 pages. Font – Sylfaen (for work written in Georgian) / Times New Roman (for work written in English), font size – 12, distance between lines – 1.5, left margin – 3 cm, right margin 1.5 cm, top and bottom margins – 2.5 cm.

14.3. The detailed description of the preparation of the master's thesis is defined in the "Preparation guide of the master's thesis and dissertation".

14.4. The relevant school program may specify rules different from this article. In such cases, special rules established by the specific school shall apply.

Article 15. Evaluation of master's thesis

15.1. The evaluation of the master's thesis is done as a whole, with a final evaluation consisting of the supervisors', the reviewers' and the commission's evaluations.

15.2. The supervisor evaluates with 30 points, the reviewer with 33 points, the commission with 37 points. For the final evaluation, these evaluations are added together.

15.3. The maximum score for the final assessment is 100.

15.4. The supervisor's evaluation criteria are defined in the forms "Master's thesis evaluation criteria for the supervisor (without points)" and "Master's thesis evaluation criteria for the supervisor (with points)". The reviewer's evaluation criteria are defined in the forms "Master's thesis evaluation forms for the reviewer (without points)" and "Master's thesis evaluation forms for the reviewer (with points)". The commission's evaluation criteria are defined in the form "Master's thesis evaluation criteria for public defense" (in accordance with Annexes No. 2 - No. 6, however, considering the specification of the relevant field, the commission has the right to evaluate the applicant using different criteria).

15.5. The evaluation of the master's thesis is carried out according to the system established by clause 14.6.

15.6. The evaluation system allows:

a) five types of positive assessment:

A.A) (A) Excellent – 91-100 points.

A.B) (B) Very good – 81-90 points.

A.C) (C) Good – 71-80 points.

A. D) (D) Satisfactory - 61-70 points.

A. E) (E) Sufficient – 51-60 points.

b) two types of negative assessment:

BA) (FX) failed – 41-50 points, which means that the student needs more work to pass and is allowed to take one additional exam with independent work.

bb) (F) Failed – 40 points and less, which means that the work done by the student is not enough and he/she has to study the course/subject anew.

15.7. If the master's student receives 41-50 points (FX), he/she is allowed to submit a revised scientific-research component during the next semester.

15.8. If the graduate student receives 40 points or less (F), he/she loses the right to submit the same research component.

15.9. The prerequisite for awarding the academic degree of Master is to obtain at least 51 points in the final assessment. If the student gets 41-50 points, he/she is given the opportunity to improve his/her master's thesis and submit it for defense in the next semester, and if he/she gets less than 41 points, the student loses the right to present the same master's thesis.

15.10. If the master's student did not appear for public defense due to a valid reason (if there is a valid reason, the study process monitoring service makes the appropriate decision), the master's student will be assigned public defense in the same semester.

15.11. The master's thesis must be evaluated in the same or the following semester in which the student completes work on it.

Article 16. Submission of master's thesis

16.1. The student must submit the thesis in the 15th calendar week. The counting of the said period starts from the date of first approval of the title and supervisor of the paper regardless of whether any changes have been made to the title/supervisor of the paper later.

16.2. The student applies to the Academic Writing Center regarding the completion of the thesis and admission to the defense. The student sends the electronic version of the paper to the official email of the Academic Writing Center.

16.3. The Academic Writing Center determines the presence/absence of plagiarism using a special anti-plagiarism electronic program (a program used by the university to detect and prevent plagiarism) and with the involvement of the thesis supervisor. The volume of direct quotations verified by an appropriate document in the thesis should not exceed 15% of the total thesis; the presence of unverified text in the thesis is not allowed (0%); If the fact of similarity of more than 15% of the text is revealed during the verification, in the event of detection of the above circumstances, the student must eliminate the mentioned defect within 5 (five) calendar days based on the thesis supervisor's report and forward it to the Academic Writing Center. Otherwise, in case of violation of the principle of academic integrity by the student, the issue will be regulated in accordance with the "Academic Integrity Policy" in force at the university;

16.4. After the plagiarism check, two printed copies of the master's thesis (signed by the student) and one copy in electronic form (on CD) will be submitted to the Academic Writing Center. The Academic Writing Center sends the paper, along with the text match percentage (regardless of the match percentage), to the supervisor/co-supervisor for evaluation.

Article 17. Master thesis supervisor

17.1. The person with the academic degree of doctor, who has published at least 1 (one) publication in the relevant field during the last 5 (five) years, has the right to supervise the master's thesis.

17.2. The master's student has the right to request a change of supervisor within 1 (one) month after the title of the master's thesis is approved.

17.3. The supervisor is given 5 (five) calendar days to evaluate the work.

17.4. The supervisor writes the conclusion and evaluates the work. In the conclusion, the supervisor should pay attention to the format and style of the paper, its compliance with the "Master's Thesis and Dissertation Preparation Guide".

17.5. The supervisor's report is sent to the student for feedback purposes.

17.6. A student will be admitted to the defense if he/she has received at least the number of points specified in the form from each component of the supervisor's assessment (at least 7 points in total).

Article 18. Co-supervisor of the master's thesis

18.1. The co-supervisor of the master's thesis can be a specialist of the relevant or other field depending on the topic of the master's thesis.

18.2. The student has the right to change his/her co-supervisor within 1 (one) month after the approval of the master's thesis, if the co-supervisor was appointed at the beginning of the semester.

18.3. The co-supervisor is given 5 (five) calendar days to make the assessment.

18.4. When evaluating the thesis, the co-supervisor pays attention to the format and style of the thesis, its compliance with the present regulation and the "Guide for preparing Master's Thesis and Dissertation".

Article 19. Master thesis reviewer

19.1. The reviewer may be an internal university academic/visiting staff or an external staff (local or international). When appointing a reviewer, priority is given to appointing an international reviewer.

19.2. The reviewer must have an academic degree of Ph.D. In the case of an internal reviewer, he/she may have an academic degree in the relevant field or another field, but hold an academic position (professor/associate professor) in the relevant field or must have published at least 1 (one) publication in indexed journals or an international conference proceeding in the last 5 (five) years in the relevant field. The external reviewer must be a lecturer in a higher educational institution, or employed in the private or public sector in the relevant direction.

19.3. The reviewer is appointed by the school board, on the basis of the presentation of the head of the program in agreement with the sectoral group of the program.

19.4. The reviewer is appointed according to the following procedure:

19.4.1. The master's thesis supervisor will submit the resumes of potential reviewers (at least one internal and one external reviewer) to the program director.

19.4.2. The head of the program evaluates the submitted candidates according to their qualifications based on consultation with the program's sectoral group.

19.4.3. The reviewer's nomination will be submitted to the school board for approval.

19.5. Once a reviewer is appointed, the Center for Academic Writing coordinates the review process.

19.6. The reviewer is given 10 (ten) calendar days to evaluate the paper. In the case of an international reviewer, according to the decision of the school board, the mentioned period may be extended up to four weeks.

19.7. The reviewer evaluates the paper by points and also writes recommendations and/or notes.

19.8. The reviewer's report is sent to the student for feedback purposes.

19.9. The reviewer evaluates the student in public defense in the form of a graded evaluation intended for the reviewer.

Article 20. Master's Thesis Defense Commission

20.1. The master's thesis is defended before the commission created by the school board. Commission members are appointed based on the submission of the supervisor of the master's thesis (all commission members must be academic (except assistants) or invited staff). It is possible that the members of the commission may not be university personnel.

20.2. The commission includes at least 2 (two) representatives of the relevant field. A representative of the academic writing center is entitled to attend the defense with the right of deliberative vote. The master's thesis supervisor/co-supervisor is entitled to attend the defense, but cannot be a member of the commission.

20.3. The chairman of the commission is elected by a simple majority of votes. The Secretary of the Commission is a representative of the Center for Academic Writing.

20.4. The protocol of defense is signed by the chairman, secretary and all present members participating in the assessment.

20.5. The Academic Writing Center provides the archiving of signed minutes.

Article 21. Functions of the chairman and secretary of the commission

21.1. The functions of the chairman of the commission are:

21.1.1. conducting the defense procedure;

21.1.2. Deriving the average score of the commission members' evaluations.

21.2. The functions of the secretary of the commission are:

21.2.1. Carrying out all necessary procedural and organizational actions for the defense of the master's thesis and after the defense (including the preparation of the list of students who defended the master's thesis indicating the students' name, surname, topic title, program, supervisor/co-supervisor of the thesis, date of defense and other issues), preparation of the list of students who failed to defend the master's thesis, indicating the reason and the appropriate argumentation of the commission and submission to the school board.

Article 22. Procedure for defending a master's thesis

22.1. The organization of the master's thesis defense process (including the announcement of the time and place) is carried out by the Academic Writing Center. The defense must be attended by members of the commission (at least 2 specialists in the field) and a reviewer. Otherwise, the defense will be postponed for a period of no more than 1 (one) week.

22.2. The defense of the master's thesis is conducted orally and publicly, in the language of the program.

22.3. The time allocated for the presentation should not exceed 20 (twenty) minutes. After the student's presentation, the commission members are authorized to ask the master's student questions.

22.4. After the student's presentation, the conclusions of the supervisor and reviewer on the defense of the master's thesis are made public. The student is entitled to express his/her own reasoned position on the issues indicated in the supervisor's and/or reviewer's report.

Article 23. Appealing the results of the evaluation of the research component

23.1. The student is entitled to appeal the assessment of the research component within 4 (four) calendar days from the date of becoming aware of the result by applying to the relevant school.

23.2. The student must indicate specific justification / circumstances in the application and present the relevant argumentation.

23.3. By application, the student is entitled to request:

a) Review of the defense regulations without re-evaluation

b) Re-evaluation of the research component.

23.4. Procedure for reviewing the complaint in case of a request for review of the defense regulations:

23.4.1. In case of a request for review of the defense regulations, the School Council is entitled, based on the circumstances indicated in the student's complaint, to make a decision to review the defense regulations by the evaluator or the Appeals Commission established in accordance with paragraph 23.5.

23.4.2. If the Appeals Commission finds a defect, the component is returned to the School Council together with the conclusion of the School Council.

23.4.3. The School Council, based on the conclusion of the Appeals Commission, is authorized to discuss the re-evaluation of the research component, leaving the evaluation unchanged, or re-conducting the defense.

23.5. In the event of a request for re-evaluation of the research component, the School Council shall form an Appeals Commission consisting of at least 3 (three) members. The Appeals Commission shall decide by majority vote. A member of the Commission shall not have a conflict of interest in relation to the work, including being the supervisor, reviewer, opponent, or a member of the original defense commission.

23.6. General rules for considering a complaint:

23.6.1. The commission is given 15 (fifteen) working days to carry out the assessment.

23.6.2. During the assessment process, the commission is obliged to familiarize itself with the justification of the initial assessment, as well as, if necessary, hear the arguments of the defense commission. The commission has the right to leave the assessment in force or to file a motion for the need to review the assessment.

23.6.3. If the assessment needs to be reviewed, the School Council/Dissertation Council will consider the commission's motion and, if it is approved, a re-defense will be scheduled. The assessment may remain the same, be reduced or increased at the defense. The decision is final and cannot be appealed. If the motion is not approved, the School Council will present the arguments.

23.6.4. The School Council will notify the interested parties of the decision within 2 (two) working days.

Article 24. Award of qualification

24.1. After defending the master's thesis and completing all components specified in the program, the master's academic degree is awarded to the master by the decision of the school council.

24.2. The master's academic degree may not be awarded to the master or the degree already awarded may be revoked, as well as the diploma confirming the master's academic degree may not be issued or the diploma already issued may be revoked, if the fact of submitting falsified research data or academic dishonesty (plagiarism) is established.

24.3. The decision on the revocation of the master's academic degree and diploma is made by the school council.

Article 25. Final Provisions

25.1. Matters that are not regulated by this regulation are regulated according to the Law of Georgia "On Higher Education" and other regulations of the International Black Sea University LLC.

25.2. The said provision shall enter into force upon the entry into force of the rector's order;

25.3. Any kind of changes and additions to the regulations are approved based on the order of the rector.

25.4. The implementation of this provision will be supervised by the vice-rector for research and study.

25.5. 6 annexes are attached to this Regulation as an integral part, the purpose of which is to regulate the assessments provided for by the Regulation and determine the criteria. However, considering the specifics of the field, schools may develop different assessment criteria.

25.6. The rules established by this Regulation do not apply if the relevant program specifies special regulation of the issue.

შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის
 საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების
 კრიტერიუმები ხელმძღვანელისთვის (ქულის გარეშე)
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR SUPERVISOR (WITHOUT POINTS)

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / Name, Surname:

.....
.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ Title of the Master's Thesis:

.....
.....
.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	ვეთან ხმები Agree	მეტწილად ვეთანხმები Substantially Agree	ნაწილობრივ ვეთანხმები Partially Agree	არ ვეთანხმები Disagree
1	აქტუალობა Significance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	ხელმძღვანელთან მუშაობის პროცესში ჩართულობა Involvement in the thesis writing process in cooperation with supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

კომენტარები, რეკომენდაციები / **Comments, Recommendations**

.....
.....
.....
.....
ხელმძღვანელის სახელი, გვარი / **Full Name of the Supervisor**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი - INTERNATIONAL BLACK SEA UNIVERSITY - შავი ზღვის

საერთაშორისო უნივერსიტეტი სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების
კრიტერიუმები ხელმძღვანელისთვის
(ქულობრივი)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR SUPERVISOR (WITH POINTS)

თარიღი/ **Date:**...../...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / **Name, Surname:**

.....
..... სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ **Title of the Master's Thesis:**
.....
.....
.....
.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	მაქსიმალური ქულა (მინიმალური ქულა) Maximum Possible Assessment Points (Minimum Possible Assessment Point)	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4 (1)	
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4 (1)	
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5 (1)	

4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5 (1)	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6 (1)	
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	3 (1)	
7	ხელმძღვანელთან მუშაობის პროცესში ჩართულობა Involvement in the thesis writing process in cooperation with supervisor	3 (1)	

ჯამი / Total		30 (7)	
---------------------	--	---------------	--

გადაწყვეტილება / **Decision:**

ხელმძღვანელის სახელი, გვარი / **Full Name of the Supervisor** _____

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების
კრიტერიუმები რეცენზენტისთვის (ქულის გარეშე)
**ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR
REVIEWER (WITHOUT POINTS)**

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / **Master's Student's**

სახელი, გვარი / **Name, Surname:**

.....
.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ **Title of the Master's Thesis:**

.....
.....
.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	ვეთანხმები Agree	მეტწილად ვეთანხმები Substantially Agree	ნაწილობრივ ვეთანხმები Partially Agree	არ ვეთანხმები Disagree
---	-------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	------------------------------

1	აქტუალობა Significance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	შზსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

კომენტარები, რეკომენდაციები / **Comments, Recommendations**

.....

.....

.....

რეცენზენტის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Reviewer**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები რეცენზენტისთვის
(ქულობრივი)

ASSESSMENT OF MASTER'S THESIS FOR REVIEWER (WITH POINTS)

თარიღი / **Date:**/...../.....

მაგისტრანტის / **Master's Student's**

სახელი, გვარი / **Name, Surname:**

.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ **Title of the Master's Thesis:**

.....

.....

.....

.....

#	კრიტერიუმი Criteria	მაქსიმალური ქულა Maximum Possible Assessment Points	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4	
2	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4	
3	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5	
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6	
6	შსსუ-ს მიერ დადგენილ ფორმატთან შესაბამისობა Relevance to the IBSU format	3	
ჯამი / Total		27	

#	სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის პრეზენტაციის შეფასება Assessment of master's thesis presentation	მაქსიმალური ქულა Maximum Possible Assessment Points	მინიჭებული ქულა Points Given
7	დაცვის პროცესში კითხვებზე ადეკვატური პასუხების გაცემა, საკუთარი პოზიციის დასაბუთება, ტერმინოლოგიის ფლობა During defense- giving adequate replies to the given questions, defending one's own position, knowledge of terminology.	6	
ჯამი / Total		33	

გადაწყვეტილება / **Decision:**

რეცენზენტის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Reviewer**

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**



სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის შეფასების კრიტერიუმები საჯარო დაცვისთვის
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA of MASTER'S THESIS for PUBLIC DEFENSE

მაგისტრანტის / Master's Student's

სახელი, გვარი / Name, Surname:

.....

სამაგისტრო ნაშრომის სათაური/ Title of the Master's Thesis:

.....

მაქსიმალური

კრიტერიუმი **Criteria**
Assessment Maximum Possible ქულა

მინიჭებული **Points Given** ქულა

		Points	
1	აქტუალობა Significance	4	
2	კვლევის თეორიული ან/და კვლევის პრაქტიკული მნიშვნელობა Theoretical or/and Practical value of reasearch	5	
3	სიახლე Novelty/originality	4	
4	საკვლევი პრობლემის ანალიზის სიღრმე და დასკვნების ორიგინალობა Depth of the research material, uniqueness of the conclusions	5	
5	ნაშრომის სტრუქტურა, კვლევის მეთოდები და შედეგების დამაჯერებლობა Structure, research methods and Convincing research results	6	
6	დაცვისას მასალის პრეზენტაცია (ლოგიკური მსჯელობა, პრეზენტაციის სტრუქტურა, მკაფიო მეტყველება, ნაშრომის ძირითადი დებულებების წარმოდგენა და ა.შ. დაცვისას გამოყენებული თვალსაჩინოების ეფექტურობა) Presenting material during defense (logical arguments, structure of the presentation, fluency, conveying main idea, etc. Quality of audio-visual aids)	7	
7	დაცვის პროცესში კითხვებზე ადეკვატური პასუხების გაცემა, საკუთარი პოზიციის დასაბუთება, ტერმინოლოგიის ფლობა During defense- giving adequate replies to the given questions, defending one's own position, knowledge of terminology.	6	

ჯამი / **Total** **37**

კომისიის წევრის სახელი, გვარი / **Full name of the Commission**

Member _____

ხელმოწერა / **Signature**
